IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 15855 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Sunita Khanna

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

This order shall dispose of 6 writ petitions, which are fully detailed in the footnote. Facts have been referred from C.W.P.No. 15855 of 2007.

Mr. Gurnam Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court in CWP No. 1926 of 1997 titled as Usha Rani and others V. State of Punjab and others, decided on May 19, 2007 had passed the following order:-

"We have heard the learned counsel for the parties who are before us today.

We find that in view of the very nature of the facts and the record and the questions raised in this bunch of petitioners and also arising out of the report of Sh.R.L.Kalsia, Director, Social Security Women and Child Development Punjab, Chandigarh, which has been submitted before us in Court it is not possible for us to examine inter se claim of the parties on account of seriously disputed questions of fact but as this matter has been pending in this court the motion stage since the year 1997, we deem it appropriate that either the State Government itself of through some Committee, should have the issues examined after hearing all those who are likely to be affected and after giving them an opportunity of filing objections against the report and to take a decision on the issues raised within 12 months from today.

Till the Government takes the decision. We direct that the interim orders granted in the present set of writ petitions shall continue to operate. We also permit all the parties in the writ petitions to file a fresh set of objections should they so desire within a period of one month from the date that a certified copy of this order is supplied to them.

The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the learned counsel for the parties."

Learned counsel submits that in pursuance to the aforementioned orders, a committee was constituted which perhaps has submitted its report. As per the report, now the petitioner has been issued show cause notice (Annexure P-4). However, the report of the Committee constituted by the State Government has not been supplied to the petitioner, hence the petitioner is handicapped in filing objections to the said Report. Learned counsel submits that the respondents be directed to furnish a copy of the Report of the Committee headed by Sh. Satish Chandra, IAS, Commissioner dated 27.2.2007, to enable the petitioner to file objections against the said Report before the competent authority.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice, on the asking of the Court. Three set of paper-book of the writ petition shall be handed over to him during the course of the day.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we disposed of these writ petitions with a direction to respondent No. 1 to supply a copy of the Report to the Committee dated 27.2.2007 headed by Sh. Satish Chandra IAS, Commissioner to the petitioners within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

On receipt of the Report, the petitioners may file their reply within a period of two weeks thereafter.

In the meantime, no action in pursuance to the show cause notices issued to the petitioners be taken against them, if not already

taken.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

October 15, 2007 **(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)**
gbs **JUDGE**

---

1. Sunita Khanna v. State of Punjab and another (C.W.P. No.15855 of 2007.
2. Devinder Kaur v. State of Punjab and another (C.W.P. No.15869 of 2007)
3. Joginder Kaur v. State of Punjab and another (C.W.P. No.15873 of 2007)
4. Reena Rani v. State of Punjab and others (C.W.P. No.15874 of 2007)
5. Harinder Kaur v. State of Punjab and others (C.W.P. No.16036 of 2007)
6. Chhinder Pal Kaur v. State of Punjab and others (C.W.P. No.16038 of 2007)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 16038 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Chhinder Pal Kaur

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No. 15855 of 2007 titled as “Sunita Khanna Versus State of Punjab and another” decided on 15.10.2007.

(M.M.KUMAR)

JUDGE

October 15, 2007
gbs

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 16036 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Harinder Kaur

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No. 15855 of 2007 titled as “Sunita Khanna Versus State of Punjab and another” decided on 15.10.2007.

(M.M.KUMAR)

JUDGE

October 15, 2007
gbs

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 15874 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Reena Rani

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No. 15855 of 2007 titled as “Sunita Khanna Versus State of Punjab and another” decided on 15.10.2007.

(M.M.KUMAR)

JUDGE

October 15, 2007
gbs

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 15873 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Joginder Kaur

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No. 15855 of 2007 titled as “Sunita Khanna Versus State of Punjab and another” decided on 15.10.2007.

(M.M.KUMAR)

JUDGE

October 15, 2007
gbs

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 15869 of 2007

Date of Decision: 15.10.2007

Devinder Kaur

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.M. KUMAR, J.

For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No. 15855 of 2007 titled as “Sunita Khanna Versus State of Punjab and another” decided on 15.10.2007.

(M.M.KUMAR)

JUDGE

October 15, 2007
gbs

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE